

# Molecular validity of nemacheilid loaches from Choman River drainage, Kurdistan, Iran

Edris GHADERI<sup>®,1</sup>, Hamid FARAHMAND<sup>\*®,1</sup>, Barzan BAHRAMI KAMANGAR<sup>®,2</sup>, Mohammad Ali NEMATOLLAHI<sup>®,1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Fisheries, Faculty of Natural Resources, University of Tehran, Karaj, Iran. <sup>2</sup>Department of Fisheries, Faculty of Natural Resources, University of Kurdistan, Sanandaj, Iran. Corresponding author: \*E-mail: hfarahmand@ut.ac.ir

#### Abstract

The validity of three morphologically described loach species viz. Oxynoemacheilus chomanicus, O. zagrosensis, O. kurdistanicus and one geographically newly reported species, Turcinoemacheilus kosswigi from Choman River drainage, in western Iran were evaluated using Cytochrome b gene. The results confirmed the validity of four Choman River's loaches as distinct species and the four species were clustered well within Oxynoemacheilus and Turcinoemacheilus genera. Based on the results, Cytochrome b gene was useful tool for identification of Choman River's loaches.

Keywords: Sequences, Classification, Phylogenetic relationship, Taxonomy.

**Citation:** Ghaderi E., Farahmand H., Kamangar B., Nematollahi M.A. 2021. Molecular validity of nemacheilid loaches from Choman River drainage, Kurdistan, Iran. FishTaxa 20: 14-20.

## Introduction

Loaches of the family Nemacheilidae are a diverse lineage inhabiting lotic freshwaters of Asia, Europe, and north-eastern Africa with more than 750 species so far (Eschmeyer et al. 2020). The family is well-represented in Iran with more than 46 species (Abdoli et al. 2011; Golzarianpour et al. 2013; Kamangar et al. 2014; Freyhof et al. 2016; Sayyadzadeh et al. 2016; Esmaeili et al. 2018). Although, their validity and taxonomic status are disputed since many species of this family described based on solely morphological features (Azimi et al. 2015; Sungur et al. 2017; Cicek et al. 2018) but recent advancement in molecular studies, helped to resolve their correct taxonomic status (Chaudhary and Singh 2012; Huang et al. 2016, 2017). Molecular methods have great potential for resolving identification and phylogenic relationships of fish species e.g. in cryptic species (Teletchea 2009). Among many genes utilized in phylogenetic studies, Cytochrome b (Cytb) is a frequently one in fish identification (Baharum and Nurdalila 2011; Biswas et al. 2001; Perdices et al. 2004; Perkins and Schall 2002). Within family Nemacheilidae, Cytb has been well-used to identify new species and to some extent establish phylogenetic relationship (Lokeshwor et al. 2012; Min et al. 2012). However, this gene also has limitations which includes base compositional biases, rate variation between different lineages, early saturation of third codon positions, and limited variation in the first and second codon positions (Meyer 1993). Therefore, questions regarding the deep phylogenetic relationship at the higher classification cannot be accurately answered using this gene.

Recently, three stone loaches of Nemacheilidae family have been described from Choman River drainage viz. *Oxynoemacheilus chomanicus* Kamangar et al. 2014, *O. zagrosensis* Kamangar et al. 2014, and *O. kurdistanicus* Kamangar et al. 2014 based on their morphological characters (Kamangar et al. 2014). Additionally, a new geographical record has been reported for *Turcinoemacheilus kosswigi* Bănărescu and Nalbant, 1964 from the same drainage. These new findings presented an opportunity for us to assess their validity using Cytochrome *b* gene as aim of this study.

# **Material and Methods**

Twenty specimens (5 specimens for each species) of O. chomanicus (38.1-56.2 mm SL) from three localities,



**Figure 1.** Map showing the location of samplings (A) Korhe-pazi at Baneh River (36°01′03N, 45°55′20″E), (B) Payepol at Boein River (35°56′30″N, 45°56′36″E), (C) Jemli at Choman River (35°57′54″N, 45°42′30″E) and (D) Tajaban at Choman River (35°56′53″N, 45°41′40″E).

Figure 2. (A) Oxynoemacheilus chomanicus, (B) O. zagrosensis, (C) O. kurdistanicus and (D) Turcinoemacheilus kosswigi.

including (station A in Fig. 1) Korepazi in Baneh River (n=2), (B) Payepol in Boein River (n=1) and (C) Jemli in Choman River (n=2), *O. zagrosensis* (48.4-58.4 mm SL) from two localities in (C) Jemli in Choman River (n=2) and (D) Tajaban in Choman River (n=3), *O. kurdistanicus* (32.2-60.5 mm SL) and *T. kosswigi* (25-49.4 mm SL) from Tajaban in Choman River (D) were collected in August and September 2011 (Fig. 2). All specimens fixed in ethanol 96% after anesthetized with clove oil and samples of their muscles tissue were taken from for molecular study.

Species	Drainage	Country	Published by	Genbank Acc. No.
Oxynoemacheilus zarzianus	Lesser Zab	Iraq	Freyhof and Geiger 2017	KY849792
Oxynoemacheilus zarzianus	Lesser Zab	Iraq	Freyhof and Geiger 2017	KY849795
Oxynoemacheilus zarzianus	Lesser Zab	Iraq	Freyhof and Geiger 2017	KY849796
Oxynoemacheilus zarzianus	Lesser Zab	Iraq	Freyhof and Geiger 2017	KY884997
Oxynoemacheilus bureschi	Balkan		Sediva et al. 2010	GQ199473
Oxynoemacheilus bureschi	Balkan		Sediva et al. 2010	GQ199474
Oxynoemacheilus bureschi	Balkan		Sediva et al. 2010	GQ199475
Oxynoemacheilus bureschi	Balkan		Sediva et al. 2010	GQ199476
Turcinoemacheilus sp.	Karoun	Iran	Jamshidi et al. 2013	GQ338826
Turcinoemacheilus sp.	Karoun	Iran	Jamshidi et al. 2013	GQ338827
Turcinoemacheilus sp.	Karoun	Iran	Jamshidi et al. 2013	GQ338828
Oxynoemacheilus hanae	Sirvan	Iraq	Freyhof and Abdullah 2017	MH842969
Oxynoemacheilus gyndes	Sirvan	Iraq	Freyhof and Abdullah 2017	MH842968
Paracobitis atrakensis	Kavir basin	Iran	Sayyadzadeh et al. 2019	MG229862
Paracobitis atrakensis	Atrak	Iran	Sayyadzadeh et al. 2019	MG229861
Paracobitis atrakensis	Atrak	Iran	Sayyadzadeh et al. 2019	MG229863
Paracobitis hircanica	Zarin-Gol	Iran	Sayyadzadeh et al. 2019	MG229864
Paracobitis hircanica	Zarin-Gol	Iran	Sayyadzadeh et al. 2019	MG229865
Paracobitis malapterura	Namak	Iran	Sayyadzadeh et al. 2019	MG229878
Paracobitis malapterura	Qom	Iran	Sayyadzadeh et al. 2019	MG229879
Paracobitis malapterura	kordan	Iran	Sayyadzadeh et al. 2019	MG229880
Paracobitis malapterura	Kavir basin	Iran	Sayyadzadeh et al. 2019	MG229881
Paracobitis molavii	Lesser Zab	Iran	Sayyadzadeh et al. 2019	MG229860
Paracobitis persa	Kor	Iran	Sayyadzadeh et al. 2019	MG229866
Paracobitis persa	Kor	Iran	Sayyadzadeh et al. 2019	MG229868
Paracobitis rhadinaea	Sistan	Iran	Sayyadzadeh et al. 2019	MG229876
Paracobitis rhadinaea	Sistan	Iran	Sayyadzadeh et al. 2019	MG229877
Triplophysa leptosoma	Qilian	China	Zhang et al. 2017	KX213666

Table 1. Cytochrome b sequences downloaded from NCBI GenBank with information on drainage, country of origin and source.

DNA was extracted using salting out protocol from muscle tissue (Cawthorn et al. 2011). To amplify a 1140 bp of Cytochrome *b*, DNA extracts were subjected to PCR amplification, using primer set of L14724 (5'-GA CTTGAAAAACCACCGTTG-3') and H15915 (5'-CTCCGATCTCCGGATTACAAGAC-3') (Tang et al. 2006). PCR was performed at an initial denaturation step at 94°C for 3 min followed by 35 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, 52-58°C for 45 s, 72°C for 1 min and a final extension at 72°C for 8 min. The amplified fragments were purified with Promega DNA purification kit following the manufacturer's instructions. The purified fragments were sequenced bidirectional by BIONEER Company. All sequences were deposited the GenBank database.

Kimura two parameter (K2P) distance model (Kimura 1980) was used to calculate sequence divergences in Choman's loach species. Two categories of K2P were calculated to test intra and interspecific distances in Choman's loach species. K2P genetic distances were calculated using MEGA 6 software package (Tamura et al. 2013). For phylogenetic analysis, 20 sequences from Choman's loach species were included with 27 sequences representing 11 species of Nemacheilidae family (Table 1). *Triplophysa leptosoma* (KX213666) was used as out-group. Our attempt to obtain the full fragment of cytochrome *b* genes was not successful and the samples obtained were read incompletely with the H15915 primer. The average sequence length was 1097 bp within the range of 1011 to 1131 bp. For this reason, we considered the sequences of Choman and extracted the sequences from the gene bank with 989 bp nucleotide fragments.

Phylogenetic trees were reconstructed for the gene data set using Neighbor Joining (NJ), Maximum Likelihood (ML) and Bayesian Analyses (BA). Sequences were aligned using Clustal X ver. 1.85 (Thompson et al. 1997). NJ phylogeny was inferred with neighbor-joining algorithm and bootstrapping support for the nodes

0	. chomanicu	sO. zagrosensis(	O. kurdistanicus
O. chomanicus			
O. zagrosensis	4.8%		
O. kurdistanicus	3.7%	6.6%	
T. kosswigi	22.7%	24.1%	23.9%

**Table 2.** Mean interspecific K2P genetic distances between the Choman loach species.

(10,000 pseudoreplicates) as implemented in PAUP\* 4.0b10 (Swofford 2002; Windows version). Phylogenetic trees using ML were estimated as implemented in RAxML (Randomized Axelerated Maximum Likelihood, version 7.0.4) (Stamatakis 2006). The Akaike information criterion (AIC) implemented in MODELTEST v3.4 (Posada and Crandall 2003) was used to identify the optimal molecular evolutionary model for each partition on the sequence data set. GTR+I+G model were utilized to obtain the optimal ML trees and bootstrap support. Robustness of the inferred trees was evaluated using bootstrap analysis on 10,000 pseudoreplications using RAxML 7.0.4 (Felsenstein 1985; Stamatakis et al. 2008). BA analyses were conducted using Mr. Bayes v3.1.2 (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist 2001). For BA, 10,000,000 cycles were implemented for four simultaneous Monte Carlo Markov chains, sampling the Markov chain at intervals of 100 generations. Log-likelihood stability was attained after 100,000 generations; the first 1,000 trees were discarded as "burn-in" in each analysis. Support for BI tree nodes was determined based on values of Bayesian posterior probabilities. Final trees were visualized in the FigTree v.1.4.2 program (Rambaut 2019).

## Results

Of the total 989 sites, 373 sites were variable, and 311 sites were parsimony informative. No internal indels were observed in any sequences generated herein or downloaded from the Genebank. The average nucleotide composition of *O. chomanicus*, *O. zagrosensis*, *O. kurdistanicus* and *T. kosswigi* sequences were Adenine (A) 25.2, 24.9, 24.9 and 27.9%, Thymine (T) 31.4, 31, 30.7 and 31.5%, Cytosine (C) 26.8, 27, 27.5 and 24.8% and Guanine (G) 16.6, 17.1, 16.9 and 15.7%, respectively. In all four species, the content of A+T was higher than that of C+G. Transitions (Ti) / transversions (Tv) ratios for *O. chomanicus*, *O. zagrosensis*, *O. kurdistanicus* and *T. kosswigi* were 2.21, 1.27, 0.965 and 2.1, respectively. Intraspecific K2P distance for Choman's loach species were 0.7, 0.9, 0.3 and 1% for *O. chomanicus*, *O. zagrosensis*, *O. kurdistanicus* and *T. kosswigi* and *O. zagrosensis*, whereas the lowest distance (3.7%) was observed between *O. chomanicus* and *O. kurdistanicus* (Table 2).

The obtained trees from three analyses, NJ, ML and BI demonstrated an identical relationship (Fig. 3). The phylogenetic trees divided all specimens into two clades. Clade one contained *Oxynoemacheilus* sequences including those from Choman and the other clade consisted of loaches of *Turcinoemacheilus* sequences including *T. kosswigi*. Among *Oxynoemacheilus* species, the Choman loaches of *O. zagronensis* and *O. chomanicus* seems to be more closely related.

### Discussion

Identification of loach species has always been a difficult task and their morphological classification has shown instability (Regan 1911; Hora 1932; Sawada 1982; Siebert 1987). The problems in this regard appear in two forms, differentiating specimens from the same species and to a lesser extent similarity between specimens of different species. Therefore, in this study, we employed a more reliable method to confirm the loach species in the work of Kamangar et al. (2014). Based on the results, the Cyb sequences of *T. kosswigi* from the Choman



**Figure 2.** Phylogenetic tree of the studied Choman loaches species. Similar tree topologies were supported by NJ, ML and Bayesian inference methods. Numbers on nodes represent NJ and ML bootstrap support for that node (number of pseudoreplicates 10000 for NJ and ML) and posterior probabilities for BI (number of generations 10,000,000), respectively. The names of Choman loaches species are depicted in bold.

river drainage shows similarity to *Turcinoemacheilus* sp. sequences as it was reported from Iran by Jamshidi et al. (2013) (Accessions; GQ 338826, GQ 338827 and GQ 338828). Jamshidi et al. (2013) suspected that these sequences belong to the *Turcinoemacheilus kosswigi*. Our findings did not confirm their results. The results revealed that the *T. kosswigi* and *Turcinoemacheilus* sp. are probably two distinct taxa.

The k2p genetic distance between Choman loaches of the genus *Oxynoemacheilus* were less than 1% and for *T. kosswigi* at 1% too. The results were in agreement with by Li et al. (2018) that Cytb intraspecific differences in fishes (i.e. amount of K2P) are generally less than 1%, while interspecific differences are generally higher than 10%. Based on the results, within the *Oxynoemacheilus* clade, two clades are detected including (1) those of our materials as well as *O. hanae* and *O. zarzianus* and (2) *O. gyndes*. A recent study by Feryhof and Abdullah (2017) has shown that *O. kurdistanicus* is sympatric with those of *O. hanae* and *O. gyndes*. Our results have shown that Cytb genes are useful in identification of the Choman loaches and confirmed their validity. Further, the validity and distinct clade of the loach *T. kosswigi* is supported.

### Acknowledgments

We thank the faculty and personnel of the Department of Natural Resources, University of Kurdistan, Iran for help in logistics, field and laboratory works. Many thanks to R.L. Mayden, Department of Biology, Saint Louis University, USA for his instructive inputs for this study. Many thanks to A. Namayandeh of Environmental and Life Sciences, Trent University, Peterborough, Canada for help in linguistics and draft editing. Financial support for this study was provided through a grant by Department of Natural Resources, University of Tehran, Iran.

#### Literature cited

- Abdoli A., Golzarianpour K., Kiabi B., Naderi N., Patimar R. 2011. Status of the endemic loaches of Iran. Folia Zoologica 60(4): 362-367.
- Azimi H., MousaviSabet H., Eagderi S., Vatandoust A. 2015. Osteological characteristics of Turkmenian stone loach, *Paraschistura cristata* (Cypriniformes: Nemacheilidae). International Journal Aquatic Biology 3(5): 290-300.
- Baharum S.N., Nurdalila A.W.A. 2011. Phylogenetic relationships of *Epinephelus fuscoguttatus* and *Epinephelus hexagonatus* inferred from mitochondrial cytochrome *b* gene sequences using bioinformatic tools. International Journal of Bioscience, Biochemistry and Bioinformatics 1(1): 47-52.
- Biswas S.K., Yokoyama K., Nishimura K., Miyaji M. 2001. Molecular phylogenetics of the genus *Rhodotorula* and related basidiomycetous yeasts inferred from the mitochondrial cytochrome b gene. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 51(3): 1191-1199.
- Chaudhary A., Singh H.S. 2012. Description of two new species of the genus *Thaparocleidus* Jain, 1952 (Monogenea, Dactylogyridae) from freshwater fish in India: morphological and molecular phylogenetic evidence. Journal of Helminthology 87(2): 160-173.
- Cicek E., Eagderi S., Sungue S. 2018. *Oxynoemacheilus veyseli*, a new nemacheilid species from the upper Aras River drainage of Turkey (Teleostei: Nemacheilidae). Iranian Journal of Ichthyology 5(3): 232-242.
- Eschmeyer W.N., Fricke R., van der Laan R. 2020. Catalog of Fishes: Classification: http://www.calacademy.org/ scientists/catalog-of-fishes-classification/. Electronic version (accessed 3 Aug 2018).
- Esmaeili H.R., Sayyadzadeh G., Eagderi S., Abbasi K. 2018. Checklist of freshwater fishes of Iran. Fishtaxa 3(3): 1-95.
- Felsenstein J. 1985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. Evolution 39(4): 783-791.
- Freyhof J., Abdullah Y.S. 2017. Two new species of *Oxynoemacheilus* from the Tigris drainage in Iraqi Kurdistan (Teleostei: Nemacheilidae). Zootaxa 4238(1): 073-087.
- Freyhof J., Geiger F.M. 2017. *Oxynoemacheilus zarzianus*, a new loach from the Lesser Zab River drainage in Iraqi Kurdistan (Teleostei: Nemacheilidae). Zootaxa 4273(2): 258-270.
- Freyhof J., Geiger F.M., Golzarianpour K., Patimar R. 2016. Sasanidus, a new generic name for Noemacheilus kermanshahensis Bănărescu & Nalbant, with discussion of Ilamnemacheilus and Schistura (Teleostei; Nemacheilidae). Zootaxa 4107(1): 065-080.
- Golzarianpour K., Abdoli A., Patimar R., Freyhof J. 2013. *Turcinoemacheilus hafezi*, a new loach from the Zagroz Mountains, Iran (Teleostei: Nemacheilidae). Ichthyological Exploration of Freshwater 24(1): 41-48.
- Hora S. L. 1932. Classification, binomics and evolution of homalopterid fishes. Memoirs of the Indian Museum 12(2): 260-330.
- Huang S.P., Chen I.S., Yung M.M.N., Shao K.T. 2016. The recognition and molecular phylogeny of *Mugilogobius mertoni* Complex (Teleostei: Gobiidae), with description of a new cryptic species of *M. flavomaculatus* from Taiwan. Zoological Studies 55(39): 1-16.
- Huang S.P., Zhao Y., Chen I.S., Shao K.T. 2017. A new species of *Microphysogobio* (Cypriniformes: Cyprinidae) from Guangxi Province, Southern China. Zoological Studies 56(8): 1-12.
- Huelsenbeck J.P., Ronquist F. 2001. MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. Bioinformatics 17(8): 754-755.
- Jamshidi S., Abdoli A., Sherafatian M., Golzarianpour K. 2013. Analysis of mitochondrial DNA sequences of *Turcinoemacheilus* genus (Nemacheilidae; Cypriniformes) in Iran. Iranian Journal of Fisheries Science 12(3): 592-604.
- Kamangar B.B., Prokofiev A.M., Ghaderi E., Nalbant T.T. 2014. Stone loaches of Choman River system, Kurdistan, Iran (Teleostei: Cypriniformes: Nemacheilidae). Zootaxa 3755(1): 033-061.
- Kimura M. 1980. A simple method for estimating evolutionary rate of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. Journal of Molecular Evolution 16(2): 111-120.
- Li X., Shen X., Chen X., Xiang D., Murphy R.W., Shen Y. 2018. Detection of potential problematic Cytb gene sequences of fishes in GenBank. Frontieris in Genetics 9: 30.
- Lokeshwor Y., Barat A., Sati J., Darshan A., Vishwanath W., Mahanta P.C. 2012. *Schistura obliquofascia*, a new loach from Uttarakhand, India (Cypriniformes: Nemacheilidae). Zootaxa 3586(1): 255-263.
- Meyer A. 1993. Evolution of mitochondrial DNA in fishes. In: P. Hochachka, T.P. Mommsen (Eds.). The biochemistry and

molecular biology of fishes. Vol. 2. Amsterdam, Elsevier. pp: 1-38.

- Min R., Yang J.X., Chen X.Y., Whitterbottom R., Mayden R. 2012. Phylogenetic relationships of the genus *Homatula* (Cypriniformes: Nemacheilidae), with special reference to the biogeographic history around the Yunnan-Guizhou Plateau. Zootaxa 3586(1): 78-94.
- Perdices A., Cunha C., Coelho M.M. 2004. Phylogenetic structure of *Zacco platypus* (Teleostei, Cyprinidae) populations on the upper and middle Chang Jiang (=Yangtze) drainage inferred from cytochrome b sequences. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 31(1): 192-203.
- Perkins S.L., Schall J.J. 2002. A molecular phylogeny of malarial parasites recovered from cytochrome b gene sequences. Journal of Parasitology 88(5): 972-978.
- Rambaut A. 2019. FigTree v1.3.1: tree figure drawing tool. Available from: http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/ (accessed 16 August 2016).
- Regan C.T. 1911. The classification of teleostean fishes of the order Ostariophysi. i. Cobitoidea. The Annals and Magazine of Natural History 8(43): 13-32.
- Sawada Y. 1982. Phylogeny and zoogeography of the superfamily Cobitoidea (Cyprinoidei, Cypriniformes). Memoirs of the Faculty of Fisheries Hokkaido University 28(2): 65-223.
- Sayyadzadeh G., Eagderi S., Esmaeili H.R. 2016. A new loach of the genus *Oxynoemacheilus* from the Tigris River drainage and its phylogenetic relationships among the nemacheilid fishes (Teleostei: Nemacheilidae) in the Middle East based on mtDNA COI sequences. Iranian Journal of Ichthyology 3(4): 236-250.
- Sediva A., Apostolou A., Kohout J., Bohlen J. 2010. Molecular phylogeographic analyses of the loach *Oxynoemacheilus bureschi* reveal post-glacial range extensions across the Balkans. Journal of Fish Biology 76(2): 357-368.
- Siebert D.J. 1987. Interrelationships among families of the order Cypriniformes (Teleostei). PhD Thesis, University of New York. 354 p.
- Stamatakis A. 2006. RAxML-VI-HPC: Maximum Likelihood-based phylogenetic analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. Bioinformatics 22(21): 2688-2690.
- Stamatakis A., Hoover P., Rougemont J. 2008. A fast bootstrapping algorithm for the RAxML Web-Servers. Systematic Biology 57(5): 758-771.
- Sungur S., Jalali P., Eagderi S. 2017. Oxynoemacheilus ciceki, new nemacheilid species (Teleostei, Nemacheilidae) from the Sultan Marsh, Kayseri Province, Turkey. Iranian Journal of Ichthyology 4(4): 375-383.
- Swofford D.L. 2002. PUAP\*; phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (\* and other methods). Version 4. Sunderland (MA): Sinauer Associates.
- Tamura K., Stecher G., Peterson D., Filipski A., Kumar S. 2013. MEGA 6: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. Molecular Biology and Evolution 30: 2725-2729.
- Tang Q., Liu H., Mayden R.L., Xiong B. 2006. Comparison of evolutionary rate in the mitochondrial DNA cytochrome b gene and control region and their implications for phylogeny of the Cobitoidea (Teleostei: Cypriniformes). Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 39(2): 347-357.
- Teletchea F. 2009. Molecular identification methods of fish species: reassessment and possible applications. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries 19(3): 265-293.
- Thompson J.D., Gibson T.J., Plewniak F., Jeanmougin F., Higgins D.G. 1997. The CLUSTAL\_X windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. Nucleic Acids Research 25(24): 4876-4882.
- Zhang F., Zhu L., Zhang L., Wang W., Sun G. 2017. Phylogeography of freshwater fishes of the Qilian mountain area (*Triplophysa leptosome*, Cobitidae: Cypriniformes). Environmental Biology of Fishes 100(11): 1383-1396.